

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**  
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

### 4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM2, MM7 and MM9

### 5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

support

Object

### 6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

n/a

No

### 7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

yes

No – 'unsound'

### 8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

### 9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

We support the principle that a greater proportion of the District's housing need should be met

a) where there is an established demand;

b) where development returns will support and encourage developers to move quickly towards meeting that demand; and

c) where the development will be at a scale which is sustainable in community terms.

It was clear from evidence given at the Examination that Burley and Menston meet these criteria, and that they should be upgraded to Local Growth Centres.

This support is however within the context that we still do not think that the Core Strategy has the right

distribution of growth within the District to address the overall needs of either Bradford or, within a wider context, the Leeds City Region, and that the present skew in the distribution figures towards SE Bradford and away from Wharfedale, and Airedale needs further correction.

**10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.**

**You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

**11. Signature:**

**Finnigan**  
For and on behalf of  
the Tong and Fulneck  
Valley Association

**Date:** 17 January 2016

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.**